

Sphericity and multiplication of double cosets for infinite-dimensional classical groups

YURY A. NERETIN¹

We construct spherical subgroups in infinite-dimensional classical groups G (usually they are not symmetric and their finite-dimensional analogs are not spherical). We present a structure of a semigroup on double cosets $L \backslash G / L$ for various subgroups L in G , moreover these semigroups act in spaces of L -fixed vectors in unitary representations of G . We also obtain semigroup envelops of groups G generalizing constructions of operator colligations.

1 Introduction

1.1. Definition of spherical subgroups. Let G be a group, $K \subset G$ a subgroup. An irreducible unitary representation ρ of G in Hilbert space H is called *K-spherical*, if there exists a unique (up to a proportionality) K -fixed vector $v \in H$. The *spherical function* of a spherical representation is given by the formula

$$\Phi(g) = \langle \rho(g)v, v \rangle.$$

Let G be a semisimple Lie group, K a compact subgroup. The pair (G, K) is called *spherical*, if any irreducible unitary representation of G contains at most one non-zero K -fixed vector. The subgroup K is said to be a *spherical* subgroup of G .

According to the Gelfand theorem [4], symmetric subgroups are spherical. In particular, maximal compact subgroups of semisimple groups are spherical.

Krämer classified [10] all spherical pairs (G, K) with simple group G , the classification was extended to semisimple groups in [13] and [2]. All such pairs can be produced from symmetric pairs (G, K) by a minor diminishing of the subgroup K or small enlargement of G . On spherical functions for non-symmetric pairs, see the work of Knop [8].

1.2. Infinite-dimensional classical groups. We consider Hilbert spaces l_2 over \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} , or quaternions \mathbb{H} . We call a matrix g , whose elements are contained in \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{H} , *finite* if the matrix $g - 1$ has only finite number of non-zero elements. We define *infinite-dimensional classical groups* $U(\infty)$, $O(\infty)$, $GL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$, $Sp(2\infty, \mathbb{R})$, $U(\infty, \infty)$, \dots as groups of finite matrices satisfying the usual identities. All such groups $G(\infty)$ are inductive limits of corresponding finite-dimensional groups $G(n)$,

$$Sp(2\infty, \mathbb{R}) = \varinjlim Sp(2n, \mathbb{R}), \quad U(\infty, \infty) = \varinjlim U(n_1, n_2), \quad \dots$$

¹Supported by the grant FWF, P22122.

We suppose that $G(n)$ are canonically embedded to $G(\infty)$ and act to initial basis vectors. If we consider groups of type $O(\infty, \infty)$ etc. or products of groups, then we regard n in $G(n)$ as an integer vector $n = (n_1, \dots)$.

1.3. Heavy groups. The orthogonal group $O(\infty)$, the unitary group $U(\infty)$, and the quaternionic unitary group $Sp(\infty)$ play the same role as compact groups in finite-dimensional theory. We call them *heavy*. For a heavy group K , we denote by K^α the stabilizer of initial α basis elements in the corresponding ℓ_2 . We write elements of K^α as block $(\alpha + \infty) \times (\alpha + \infty)$ matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix}$, where $h \in K$.

Let ρ be a unitary representation of a heavy group in a Hilbert space H . Denote by $H^{[\alpha]}$ the space of K^α -fixed vectors.

Theorem 1.1 [23] *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- ρ is continuous with respect to the weak topology on K ;
- ρ is continuous with respect to the uniform topology on K ;
- $\cup H^{[\alpha]}$ is dense in H ;
- ρ admits an extension to a weakly continuous representation of the semi-group of operators with norm ≤ 1 in ℓ_2^2 .

If ρ is irreducible, this is equivalent to

- $H^{[\alpha]} \neq 0$ for some α .

We call such representations *continuous*. Description of continuous representations is contained in [23], all such representations can be obtained by tensor operations from the simplest representation of K in ℓ_2 .

Finite products of heavy groups also will be called *heavy*. If $K = K_1 \times \dots \times K_p$, then we assume $K^\alpha := K_1^{\alpha_1} \times \dots \times K_p^{\alpha_p}$, now $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ is a multi-index. *Irreducible representations of a heavy group $K = K_1 \times \dots \times K_p$ are tensor products of representations of K_j .*

1.4. Olshanski's list. The following list consists of pairs (G, K) = (classical group, symmetric heavy subgroup). Explicits form of embeddings $K(\infty) \rightarrow$

²We call such operators *contractions*.

$G(\infty)$ are sufficiently obvious.

Pairs of noncompact type	Pairs of compact type
$(\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{O}(\infty))$	$(\mathrm{U}(\infty), \mathrm{O}(\infty))$
$(\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{U}(\infty))$	$(\mathrm{U}(\infty) \times \mathrm{U}(\infty), \mathrm{U}(\infty))$
$(\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{H}), \mathrm{Sp}(\infty))$	$(\mathrm{U}(2\infty), \mathrm{Sp}(\infty))$
$(\mathrm{U}(\infty, \infty), \mathrm{U}(\infty) \times \mathrm{U}(\infty))$	$(\mathrm{U}(2\infty), \mathrm{U}(\infty) \times \mathrm{U}(\infty))$
$(\mathrm{Sp}(2\infty, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{U}(\infty))$	$(\mathrm{Sp}(\infty), \mathrm{U}(\infty))$
$(\mathrm{Sp}(2\infty, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{Sp}(\infty))$	$(\mathrm{Sp}(\infty) \times \mathrm{Sp}(\infty), \mathrm{Sp}(\infty))$
$(\mathrm{Sp}(\infty, \infty), \mathrm{Sp}(\infty) \times \mathrm{Sp}(\infty))$	$(\mathrm{Sp}(2\infty), \mathrm{Sp}(\infty) \times \mathrm{Sp}(\infty))$
$(\mathrm{O}(\infty, \infty), \mathrm{O}(\infty) \times \mathrm{O}(\infty))$	$(\mathrm{O}(2\infty), \mathrm{O}(\infty) \times \mathrm{O}(\infty))$
$(\mathrm{O}(\infty, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{O}(\infty),)$	$(\mathrm{O}(\infty) \times \mathrm{O}(\infty), \mathrm{O}(\infty))$
$(\mathrm{SO}^*(2\infty), \mathrm{U}(\infty))$	$(\mathrm{O}(2\infty), \mathrm{U}(\infty))$

Also there are 3 series of symmetric pairs of finite rank:

$(\mathrm{U}(p, \infty), \mathrm{U}(p) \times \mathrm{U}(\infty))$	$(\mathrm{U}(p + \infty), \mathrm{U}(p) \times \mathrm{U}(\infty))$
$(\mathrm{O}(p, \infty), \mathrm{O}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(\infty))$	$(\mathrm{O}(p + \infty), \mathrm{O}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(\infty))$
$(\mathrm{Sp}(p, \infty), \mathrm{Sp}(p) \times \mathrm{Sp}(\infty))$	$(\mathrm{Sp}(p + \infty), \mathrm{Sp}(p) \times \mathrm{Sp}(\infty))$

In pairs (G, K) of the left column, the group G ranges in all irreducible *infinite-dimensional classical groups*.³ In the first table in the first column, the subgroup K is a *maximal heavy subgroup* in G . The maximal heavy subgroup in $\mathrm{U}(p, \infty)$ is $\mathrm{U}(\infty)$.

Sphericity theorem. *All the above listed pairs (G, K) are spherical.*

Spherical representations are classified, see [31], [24], [25], [28], spherical functions are given by simple explicit expressions. For instance, consider the pair $(\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{O}(\infty))$. Spherical representations are determined by finite collections of real parameters, s_1, \dots, s_p and also $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma = 0, 1$. Spherical functions are given by

$$\Phi_{s,a,\sigma}(g) = |\det g|^{ia} \cdot \mathrm{sgn} \cdot (\det g)^\sigma \prod_k \prod_l \left(\frac{1 + is_k}{2} \lambda_l + \frac{1 - is_k}{2} \lambda_l^{-1} \right)^{-1/2},$$

where λ_j denote the singular numbers of $g \in \mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{R})$.

REMARK. For the pair $(\mathrm{U}(\infty) \times \mathrm{U}(\infty), \mathrm{U}(\infty))$ a substantial harmonic analysis now is constructed, see [1], apparently it exists for other pairs of the second column, see [16]. \square

The class of spherical representations is not closed with respect to natural operations (tensor products, restriction to subgroups), it is reasonable to extend it.

³Of course, the groups $\mathrm{U}(\infty)$, $\mathrm{O}(\infty)$, $\mathrm{Sp}(\infty)$ also are classical, $p = 0$.

1.5. Admissible representations. Fix a pair (G, K) from the list. We say that a unitary representation of G *admissible* ([25]), if it is continuous on the heavy subgroup K . We also use as a synonym the term "*representations of the pair (G, K)* ". Certainly, it is possible to define admissibility in terms of appropriate topologies on G (see a discussion in [15]).

Lemma 1.2 *Spherical representations are admissible.*

PROOF. It is easy to show that the subspace $\cup_{\alpha} H^{[\alpha]}$ is invariant with respect to G . On the other hand it is non-zero (it contains the spherical vector). In virtue of irreducibility, it is dense. \square

We emphasize that groups of finite matrices $GL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$, $U(\infty)$, $O(\infty, \mathbb{C})$ etc. are not type⁴ I groups, and theory of their unitary representations in the usual sense is impossible. The class of admissible representations is observable and substantial works on representations of classical infinite-dimensional groups usually can be included to this scheme.

REMARK. The list contains pairs $(O(2\infty), O(\infty) \times O(\infty))$, $(O(2\infty), U(\infty))$. The group G in both cases is the same, but conditions of continuity with respect to K are different. Therefore we get two different classes of representations. \square

REMARK. The list contains the pair $(U(\infty) \times U(\infty), U(\infty))$. Its representations are not tensor products $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$ of representations of $U(\infty)$ (the corresponding finite-dimensional theorem, see [5], 13.11.8, in this case is not valid), on representations of this pair, see [30], [31], [25]. \square

1.6. Product of double cosets and train. Let ρ be an admissible representation of the pair (G, K) in the space H . As above, let $H^{[\alpha]}$ be spaces of K^α -fixed vectors. Denote by $P^{[\alpha]}$ the operator of orthogonal projection to $H^{[\alpha]}$. We define operators

$$\bar{\rho}_{\alpha, \beta}(g) = P^{[\beta]} \rho(g) : H^{[\alpha]} \rightarrow H^{[\beta]}.$$

It easy to verify that for any $h_1 \in K^\alpha$, $h_2 \in K^\beta$ we have

$$\bar{\rho}_{\alpha, \beta}(h_2 g h_1) = \bar{\rho}_{\alpha, \beta}(g).$$

Therefore the function $\bar{\rho}_{\alpha, \beta}$ is defined on double cosets $\mathfrak{g} \in K^\beta \backslash G / K^\alpha$.

Multiplicativity theorem. *There exists a natural multiplication $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \mapsto \mathfrak{g} \circ \mathfrak{h}$,*

$$K^\gamma \backslash G / K^\beta \times K^\beta \backslash G / K^\alpha \rightarrow K^\gamma \backslash G / K^\alpha,$$

defined for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Moreover, for any admissible unitary representation ρ of the pair (G, K) the following equality holds

$$\bar{\rho}_{\beta, \gamma}(\mathfrak{g}) \bar{\rho}_{\alpha, \beta}(\mathfrak{h}) = \bar{\rho}_{\alpha, \gamma}(\mathfrak{g} \circ \mathfrak{h}). \quad (1.1)$$

⁴For definition, see, e.g., [5].

We get a category, we call it by *train* $\mathfrak{T}(G, K)$ of the pair (G, K) . Objects of the train are indices (multi-indices) $\alpha \geq 0$, and morphisms are double cosets. The formula (1.1) claims that we get a representation of a category (see [15], II.5), i.e., a functor from $\mathfrak{T}(G, K)$ to the category of Hilbert spaces and linear bounded operators.

The operation $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ induces the map $K^\alpha \setminus G/K^\beta \rightarrow K^\beta \setminus G/K^\alpha$, we denote it by $\mathfrak{g} \mapsto \mathfrak{g}^*$. It satisfies the identity

$$(\mathfrak{g} \circ \mathfrak{h})^* = \mathfrak{h}^* \circ \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

The representation $\bar{\rho}$ is a **-representation* in the following sense:

$$\rho(\mathfrak{g}^*) = \rho(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Approximation property. Let τ be a representation of the category $\mathfrak{T}(G, K)$. Let $\|\tau(\mathfrak{g})\| \leq 1$ for all \mathfrak{g} , $\tau(1) = 1$. Then the representation τ has the form $\bar{\rho}$ for uniquely defined representation ρ of the pair (G, K) .

1.7. Comparison with finite-dimensional case. Let G be a Lie group, L a compact subgroup, not necessarily maximal. Denote by $\mathcal{M}(L \setminus G/L)$ the space of finite measures that are invariant with respect to left and right shifts by elements of L . Evidently, $\mathcal{M}(L \setminus G/L)$ is an algebra with respect to the convolution. For instance, if G is a p -adic $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ and L iwahoric subgroup, we get the Hecke algebra (see. [12]); if G is a real Lie group of rank 1 and L the maximal compact subgroup, then we get the hypergroup of generalized translate, see [9].

Let ρ be a unitary representation of G in the space H . Denote by H^L the space of L -fixed vectors. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(L \setminus G/L)$ the operator $\rho(\mu)$ has the block form $\begin{pmatrix} A(\mu) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with respect to the decomposition $H = H^L \oplus (H^L)^\perp$. Thus we get a functor from unitary representations of G to representations of the convolution algebra $\mathcal{M}(L \setminus G/L)$.

It turns out that for infinite-dimensional groups the convolution of double cosets can degenerate to a multiplication. A first example, apparently was discovered by R.S. Ismagilov [6], [7], further [21], [23], [25], [14], numerous examples are discussed in [15].

1.8. Explicit formula for the product. See [25]. For definiteness, consider the pair $(G, K) = (\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{O}(\infty))$ and double cosets $K^\beta \setminus G/K^\alpha$. In other words, we consider matrices $\mathfrak{g} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ of size $(\beta + \infty) \times (\alpha + \infty)$ up to the equivalence

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1_\beta & 0 \\ 0 & U \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.2)$$

where U, V are orthogonal matrices, 1_α denotes the unit matrix of size α . The product in the category \mathfrak{T} is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \circ \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & T \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} A & B & 0 \\ C & D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 & Q \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ R & 0 & T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} AP & B & AQ \\ CP & D & CQ \\ R & 0 & T \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.3)$$

Note that a similar (but not precisely the same) algebraic structure is known as a product of operator colligations, see, e.g., [3].

1.9. Characteristic functions (below we do not develop this topic). It appears (see [15], IX.4), that \circ -multiplication can be transformed to a more customary operation⁵ in the following way. Fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$. Write the equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_+ \\ \lambda x \\ p_- \\ x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B & & 0 \\ C & D & & \\ & 0 & \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^{t-1} & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_+ \\ y \\ q_- \\ \lambda y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider all vectors $(p_+, p_-) \oplus (q_+, q_-) \in \mathbb{C}^{2\beta} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2\alpha}$, for which this equation has a solution as an equation with indeterminates x, y . We get a subspace $\chi(\lambda)$ of half-dimension in $\mathbb{C}^{2\beta} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2\alpha}$ depending on λ . We regard it as an relation (a multi-valued map) from $\mathbb{C}^{2\alpha}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{2\beta}$.

On this language, \circ -multiplication corresponds to the product of characteristic functions, i.e., pointwise product of relations.

Note that $\chi(\lambda)$ is a rational map from Riemann sphere to the Lagrangian Grassmannian in $\mathbb{C}^{2\alpha} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2\beta}$. The function $\chi(\lambda)$ is also J -contractive in the Potapov sense [29].

1.10. Self-similarity. See. [26]. Let $(G, K) = (\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{O}(\infty))$. Denote by $G^\alpha \subset G$ the stabilizer of initial α basis vectors in ℓ_2 . Then the pair (G^α, K^α) is isomorphic to (G, K) . Similar pairs are defined in all the cases, but a definition requires some care: we take basis vectors fixed by K^α , then G^α is their stabilizer in G .

Fix an unitary representation ρ of (G, K) . Its restriction to a sufficiently small subgroup $(G^\alpha, K^\alpha) \simeq (G, K)$ contains a spherical subrepresentation. All spherical representations of (G, K) obtained in this way are equivalent (for all α).

1.11. Mantle. Let $(G, K) = (\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{O}(\infty))$. Split \mathbb{N} into two countable subsets $\mathbb{N} = \Xi \cup \Omega$ (for instance, odd and even numbers). Denote by $G^\Xi \subset G$ (respectively $G(\Xi)$) the stabilizer of basis vectors enumerated by elements of Ξ (respectively, Ω). In other words, G^Ξ and $G(\Xi)$ consist of matrices of the forms $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, where blocks correspond to the decomposition $\ell_2(\mathbb{N}) = \ell_2(\Xi) \oplus \ell_2(\Omega)$. Evidently, $(G(\Xi), K(\Xi))$ is isomorphic to (G, K) . We fix the isomorphism

$$i_\tau : (G, K) \rightarrow (G(\Xi), K(\Xi)),$$

induced by some bijection $\tau : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Xi$.

⁵This is an analog of Livshits characteristic function, which is a tool in spectral theory of non-self-adjoint operators, see [11], [29], [3]. The Livshits function also take part in the representation theory of the pair $(\mathrm{U}(1 + \infty) \times \mathrm{U}(\infty), \mathrm{U}(\infty))$, see. [27].

Let ρ be a unitary representation of (G, K) in the space H . Denote by $H^{[\Xi]}$ the space of K^Ξ -fixed vectors. By $P^{[\Xi]}$ we denote the operator of projection on $H^{[\Xi]}$.

Lemma about self-restriction. *The space $H^{[\Xi]}$ is $G(\Xi)$ -invariant. The representation $\rho \circ i_\tau$ of the pair (G, K) in $H^{[\Xi]}$ is equivalent to ρ .*

On the other hand, for each $g \in G$ we assign the operator $\bar{\rho}_{\Xi, \Xi}(g) : H^{[\Xi]} \rightarrow H^{[\Xi]}$ as

$$\bar{\rho}_{\Xi, \Xi}(g) = P^{[\Xi]} \rho(g).$$

As above, $\bar{\rho}$ is a function on double cosets.

Version of multiplicativity theorem. *Double cosets $\Gamma_\infty = K^\Xi \backslash G / K^\Xi$ admit a natural structure of a semigroup (**mantle** of the pair (G, K)), the map $\bar{\rho}_{\Xi, \Xi}$ is a representation of Γ_∞ .*

Product is given by the same formula (1.3), in the present situation all blocks of the matrix are infinite.

Next, notice that the natural map $G(\Xi) \simeq G \rightarrow K^\Xi \backslash G / K^\Xi$ is injective, therefore we observe that the representation of the semigroup $K^\Xi \backslash G / K^\Xi$ extends the representation ρ of $G(\Xi) \simeq G$.

As a result, we get

Theorem about mantle. *Any unitary representation of the pair (G, K) can be extended canonically to a representation of the semigroup Γ_∞ .*

1.12. Spherical characters. Notice, that $Z := K^\Xi \backslash G^\Xi / K^\Xi \subset K^\Xi \backslash G / K^\Xi$ is a central subsemigroup in the mantle. In any irreducible representation ρ of the pair (G, K) it acts by scalar operators. This determines a multiplicative character $\Phi_\rho : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$.

If ρ is spherical, then Φ_ρ coincides with the spherical function of ρ . Generally, it coincides with spherical functions of subgroups as in 1.10.

1.13. Non-standard pairs. Until this moment we discussed pairs (G, K) containing in the Olshanski list. Now let $G = \mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{R}) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{R})$, let $K \subset G$ be the group $O(\infty)$ embedded to G by the diagonal.

a) The pair (G, K) is spherical. Notice, that this statement has no finite-dimensional analogs. Multiplicativity theorem also holds.

b) Nessonov [19], [20] obtained description of all spherical representations of this pair.

c) The paper [18] contains a construction of characteristic functions, it is valid in our case.

There arises the following question: In which generality the statements of Subsections 1.4–1.12 hold?

1.14. Purposes of the paper. We introduce class of (G, L) -pairs, where G is a classical group, L is a heavy subgroup. For this class the following hold true:

- the multiplicativity theorem;
- the approximation property;

- the construction of the mantle;
- existence of spherical characters.

The construction of characteristic functions given in [18] survives in these cases. (in the present paper this topic is not discussed).

Also, we define a subclass of *pure* (G, L) -pairs. In this case there hold

- sphericity theorem;
- self-similarity.

Definition of (G, L) -pairs is contained in Section 2, sketches of proofs in Section 3, Section 4 contains conjectures and additional remarks. We do not repeat formulations of theorems.

2 Definition

2.1. Straight embeddings of heavy groups.. First, let heavy groups L, K be irreducible, i.e, they have the form $U(\infty)$, $O(\infty)$, $Sp(\infty)$. There are obvious homomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{lll} O(\infty) \rightarrow U(\infty) & O(\infty) \rightarrow Sp(\infty) & U(\infty) \rightarrow Sp(\infty) \\ U(\infty) \rightarrow O(2\infty) & Sp(\infty) \rightarrow O(4\infty) & Sp(\infty) \rightarrow U(2\infty). \end{array}$$

Also element-wise complex conjugation determines a homomorphism $U(\infty)$ to itself. We call such homomorphisms and also identical homomorphisms $g \mapsto g$ *trivial*.

Next, let K be irreducible, $L = L_1 \times \cdots \times L_p$. We say that a homomorphism $L \rightarrow K$ is *straight*, if it has the form

$$(g_1, \dots, g_p) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1_a & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_1(g_{m_1}) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \tau_N(g_{m_N}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_k \in L_k, \quad (2.1)$$

where τ_j are trivial homomorphisms. We admit unit matrix 1_a of arbitrary order $0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$. The number N can be in the limits $0 < N < \infty$. We do not require a homomorphism to be faithful.

Finally, let $L = L_1 \times \cdots \times L_p$, $K = K_1 \times \cdots \times K_q$. Then a *straight homomorphism* is a product of straight homomorphisms $L \rightarrow K_1, \dots, L \rightarrow K_q$.

2.2. (G, L) -pairs. We say that a *classical group* $G = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_r$ is a finite product of irreducible classical groups (see the list above). Let $K = K_1 \times \cdots \times K_q$ be the maximal heavy subgroup in G . Let L be a straightly embedded heavy subgroup in K . We call such objects (G, L) -pairs.

A pair (G, L) is *pure*, if the centralizer of the subgroup L in G is trivial⁶.

⁶Examples. The pair $(G, L) = (GL(3\infty, \mathbb{R}), O(\infty) \times O(\infty) \times O(\infty))$ is pure. The centralizer of L in the group of all bounded operators is $(\mathbb{R}^\times)^3$. But it is not contained in the group $G = GL(3\infty, \mathbb{R})$ of finite matrices. The pair $(O(1 + 3\infty, \mathbb{R}), O(\infty) \times O(\infty) \times O(\infty))$ is not pure, the centralizer in $O(1 + 3\infty, \mathbb{R})$ is \mathbb{Z}_2 .

An equivalent condition: in matrices (2.1) the unit block 1_a is absent and there are no groups $U(p, \infty)$, $O(p, \infty)$, $Sp(p, \infty)$ among factors G_j ⁷.

We say that a pair is *finite*, if blocks 1_a in formula (2.1) for all factors K_i have finite sizes. Finite pairs will appear only in conjectures in the last section.

2.3. Definition of multiplication on double cosets. Let L be irreducible. Fix $\alpha \geq 0$ and consider the sequence $\Theta_m^{[\alpha]} \in L$ given by

$$\rho(\Theta_m^{[\alpha]}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1_\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_m & 0 \\ 0 & 1_m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_\infty \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.2)$$

If $L = L_1 \times \cdots \times L_p$ is reducible, we fix an multi-index α and take the net depending on a multi-index m ,

$$\Theta_m^{[\alpha]} = (\Theta_{m_1}^{[\alpha_1]}, \dots, \Theta_{m_p}^{[\alpha_p]}).$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{g} \in K^{[\gamma]} \setminus G/K^{[\beta]}, \quad \mathfrak{h} \in K^{[\beta]} \setminus G/K^{[\alpha]}.$$

Choose representatives $g \in \mathfrak{g}$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}$.

Consider subsequence

$$z_m = g\Theta_m^{[\beta]}h \in G.$$

Proposition 2.1 a) A double coset $\mathfrak{z}_m = K^{[\gamma]}z_mK^{[\alpha]}$ is eventually constant.

b) The result $g \circ h = \lim \mathfrak{z}_m$ does not depend on a choice of representatives $g \in \mathfrak{g}$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}$.

c) The operation obtained in this way is associative, i.e., for each $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ and any

$$\mathfrak{f} \in K^\delta \setminus G/K^\gamma, \quad \mathfrak{g} \in K^\gamma \setminus G/K^\beta, \quad \mathfrak{h} \in K^\beta \setminus G/K^\alpha$$

we have $(\mathfrak{f} \circ \mathfrak{g}) \circ \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{f} \circ (\mathfrak{g} \circ \mathfrak{h})$.

As a result we get a category, we call it *train* of the pair (G, L) and denote by $\mathfrak{T}(G, L)$.

Instead of formal proof in general case, we present examples explaining why this happens.

2.4. Example. Consider the pair $(GL(\infty, \mathbb{R}), O(\infty))$. To simplify notation, assume $\gamma = \beta = \alpha$. Fix $g, h \in G$. Write g, h as block matrices of the size $\alpha + N + \infty$. Let N be sufficiently large. Then g, h have the form

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ c & d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_\infty \end{pmatrix} \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} p & q & 0 \\ r & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_\infty \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.3)$$

⁷This limitation is necessary, because for such groups the maximal heavy subgroup is not a precise analog of the maximal compact group.

The product $g\Theta_{N+k}^{[\alpha]}h$ equals

$$\begin{pmatrix} ap & aq & 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\ cp & cq & 0 & d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_k & 0 \\ r & t & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_k & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_\infty \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since we examine double cosets, we transpose rows and columns whose numbers $> \alpha$. In this way we can reduce our matrix to arbitrary of two convenient forms:

$$S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} ap & aq & b & 0 \\ cp & cq & d & 0 \\ r & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{2k+\infty} \end{pmatrix} \quad S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} ap & b & aq & 0 \\ cp & d & cq & 0 \\ r & 0 & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{2k+\infty} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We observe that the result is independent on k . Next, we must verify independence on a choice of representatives, i.e., $gu, vh \subset u, v \in K_\alpha$ produce the same double coset. Without loss of generality, we can assume $u, v \in O(N)$ (otherwise we choose larger N earlier). We replace g, h from (2.3) to

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & bu & 0 \\ c & du & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} p & q & 0 \\ vr & vt & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.4)$$

with orthogonal matrices u, v . The new matrix S_2 is

$$\begin{pmatrix} ap & bu & aq & 0 \\ cp & du & cq & 0 \\ vr & 0 & vt & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & v & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} ap & b & aq & 0 \\ cp & d & cq & 0 \\ r & 0 & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & u & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Associativity is clear from the formula (1.3).

2.5. Two examples. a) Consider the pair $G = \text{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{R}) \times \cdots \times \text{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{R})$ with the diagonal subgroup $L = O(\infty)$. We have a finite collection of matrices

$g_j = \begin{pmatrix} a_j & b_j \\ c_j & d_j \end{pmatrix}$ defined up to the equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} a_q & b_q \\ c_q & d_q \end{pmatrix} \right\} \sim \\ & \sim \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1_\beta & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} 1_\beta & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_q & b_q \\ c_q & d_q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then \circ -product is defined component-wise by the formula (1.3).

b) Let $G = \mathrm{U}(2\infty)$, $K = \mathrm{O}(\infty) \times \mathrm{O}(\infty)$. Now we have unitary matrices g determined up to the equivalence

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & b_{11} & a_{12} & b_{12} \\ c_{11} & d_{11} & c_{12} & d_{12} \\ a_{21} & b_{21} & a_{22} & b_{22} \\ c_{21} & d_{21} & c_{22} & d_{22} \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & u_1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & u_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & b_{11} & a_{12} & b_{12} \\ c_{11} & d_{11} & c_{12} & d_{12} \\ a_{21} & b_{21} & a_{22} & b_{22} \\ c_{21} & d_{21} & c_{22} & d_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & v_1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & v_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathrm{O}(\infty)$.

The product is given by

$$g \circ g' = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & b_{11} & 0 & a_{12} & b_{12} & 0 \\ c_{11} & d_{11} & 0 & c_{12} & d_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & b_{21} & 0 & a_{22} & b_{22} & 0 \\ c_{21} & d_{21} & 0 & c_{22} & d_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a'_{11} & 0 & b'_{11} & a'_{12} & 0 & b'_{12} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c'_{11} & 0 & d'_{11} & c'_{12} & 0 & d'_{12} \\ a'_{21} & 0 & b'_{21} & a'_{22} & 0 & b'_{22} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ c'_{21} & 0 & d'_{21} & c'_{22} & 0 & d'_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.5)$$

Double cosets are taken with respect to the group of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ & u_{11} & u_{12} & & & \\ & u_{21} & u_{22} & & & \\ & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & v_{11} & v_{12} \\ & & & & v_{21} & v_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} \\ u_{21} & u_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} \\ v_{21} & v_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{O}(2\infty).$$

3 Proofs

3.1. Multiplicativity (the analog of 1.6).

Lemma 3.1 *For any unitary representation π of a heavy group L the sequence $\pi(\Theta_m^{[\alpha]})$ (see (2.2)) weakly converges to the projector $P^{[\alpha]}$ to $H^{[\alpha]}$.*

See [15], Theorem VIII.1.4. A priory proof is given for a symmetric group $S(\infty)$, proofs for $\mathrm{O}(\infty)$, $\mathrm{U}(\infty)$, $\mathrm{Sp}(\infty)$ are the same. On the other hand, it is easy to reduce the statement from the explicit classification of representations of heavy groups [23], see also [15]. \square

Let us prove the multiplicativity theorem for an arbitrary pair (G, L) . Let $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, g, h$ be the same as in Subsection 2.3. Then

$$\overline{\rho}(\mathfrak{g} \circ \mathfrak{h}) = P^{[\gamma]} \rho(g \Theta_m^{[\alpha]} h) : H^{[\alpha]} \rightarrow H^{[\gamma]}$$

for sufficiently large m . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P^{[\gamma]} \rho(g \Theta_m^{[\alpha]} h) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P^{[\gamma]} \rho(g) \rho(\Theta_m^{[\alpha]}) \rho(h) = \\ &= P^{[\gamma]} \rho(g) \left(\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\Theta_m^{[\alpha]}) \right) \rho(h) = P^{[\gamma]} \rho(g) P^{[\beta]} \rho(h) = \overline{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}) \overline{\rho}(\mathfrak{h}). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Thus, for any unitary representation of (G, L) we construct a representation of the category $\mathfrak{T}(G, L)$. Now we present the inverse construction.

3.2. Approximation property. Let $\alpha < \beta$ (therefore, $L^\alpha \supset L^\beta$). Denote by $\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}$ the double coset $L^\beta \cdot 1 \cdot L^\alpha$. Set $\mu_{\beta,\alpha} := \lambda_{\alpha,\beta}^*$. Then the following identities hold:

$$\mu_{\beta,\alpha} \circ \lambda_{\alpha,\beta} = 1^{[\alpha]}, \quad \lambda_{\beta,\gamma} \lambda_{\alpha,\beta} = \lambda_{\alpha,\gamma}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $1^{[\alpha]}$ denotes the unit $L^\alpha \cdot 1 \cdot L^\alpha$ of the semigroup $L^\alpha \setminus G/L^\alpha$. We get a structure of *ordered category* in the sense of [15], III.4.

Notice that $\psi_{\alpha,\beta} := \lambda_{\alpha,\beta} \circ \mu_{\beta,\alpha}$ as a subset in G coincides with L^α . But it is a nontrivial idempotent in $L^\beta \setminus G/L^\beta$:

$$\psi_{\alpha,\beta}^2 = \psi_{\alpha,\beta} \quad \psi^* = \psi_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

Consider a $*$ -representation R of the train $\mathfrak{T}(G, L)$ by contractive operators, let R assigns unit operators to units of semigroups of endomorphisms. Denote by $H^{[\alpha]}$ the Hilbert space, corresponding to a (multi)index α . In virtue of (3.1), an operator $R(\lambda_{\alpha,\beta})$ is an operator of isometric embedding $H^{[\alpha]} \rightarrow H^{[\beta]}$. The projection operator to the image of the embedding is $\psi_{\alpha,\beta}$. Now we have a chain of isometric embedding (to be definite, let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ be a bi-index):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & H^{\alpha_1+1, \alpha_2} & & & \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \searrow & & \nearrow \\ \dots & & H^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} & & H^{\alpha_1+1, \alpha_2+1} & & \dots \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \nearrow & & \searrow \\ & & & H^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2+1} & & & \end{array}$$

Denote by H the limit space.

The group G is a union of finite-dimensional groups $G(\alpha)$. Notice that the natural map $G(\alpha)$ to $L^\alpha \setminus G/L^\alpha$ is an isomorphism. Therefore we get representation of $G(\alpha)$ in the space $H^{[\alpha]}$. Operators of representation are contractive with their inverses. Therefore they are unitary.

Hence the group G acts in H . We omit a watching of the remaining details.

□

3.3. Sphericity.

Lemma 3.2 *For a pure (G, L) -pairs the semigroup $L \setminus G/L$ is commutative.*

The case is that product in such $L \setminus G/L$ means that we "join" one matrix to another. For instance, formula (2.5) in this case is reduced to

$$g \circ g' = \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12} \\ d_{21} & d_{22} \end{pmatrix} \circ \begin{pmatrix} d'_{11} & d'_{12} \\ d'_{21} & d'_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & 0 & d_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & d'_{11} & 0 & d'_{12} \\ d_{21} & 0 & d_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & d'_{21} & 0 & d'_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

To get $g' \circ g$, we conjugate right-hand side by a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & J \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1_N & 0 \\ 0 & 1_\infty & 0 & 0 \\ 1_N & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_\infty \end{pmatrix}$$

with sufficiently large N . \square

Thus $L \setminus G/L$ is an Abelian semigroup with involution. Its irreducible representations are one-dimensional. On the other hand, irreducibility of representation of (G, L) is equivalent to irreducibility of the corresponding representation of the train $\mathfrak{T}(G, L)$; but for an irreducible representation of an ordered category, all representations of semigroups of endomorphisms are irreducible (see [15], Lemma III.4.3). This implies also the following statement.

Proposition 3.3 *Spherical functions of the pair (G, L) are homomorphisms⁸ from the semigroup $L \setminus G/L$ to the multiplicative group \mathbb{C}^\times .*

3.4. Self-similarity. Consider a pure pair (G, L) and its subgroup G^α as in 1.8.

Lemma 3.4 *The image of G^α in $L^\alpha \setminus G/L^\alpha$ is a central semigroup canonically isomorphic to $L \setminus G/L$.*

PROOF. The isomorphism is induced by the "shift" $G \rightarrow G^\alpha$, i.e., $g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix}$. Further, let $g \in G$, $h \in G^\alpha$. It is easy to see that for sufficiently large m ,

$$g(\Theta_m^{[\alpha]} h \Theta_m^{[\alpha]}) = (\Theta_m^{[\alpha]} h \Theta_m^{[\alpha]}) g \Rightarrow L^\alpha \cdot g \Theta_m^{[\alpha]} h \cdot L^\alpha = L^\alpha \cdot h \Theta_m^{[\alpha]} g \cdot L^\alpha, \quad \square$$

Next, for $\alpha < \beta$ there is a natural map $K^\beta \setminus G/K^\beta \rightarrow K^\alpha \setminus G/K^\alpha$ that can be defined by the formula

$$\Pi : \mathfrak{g} \mapsto \mu_{\beta, \alpha} \circ \mathfrak{g} \circ \lambda_{\alpha, \beta}.$$

Lemma 3.5 *The projection $\Pi : K^\beta \setminus G^\beta/K^\beta \rightarrow K^\alpha \setminus G^\alpha/K^\alpha$ of central semigroups coincides with isomorphism induced by the shift $G^\beta \rightarrow G^\alpha$.*

PROOF. The element $g \in G^\alpha$ and the corresponding element $\tilde{g} \in G^\beta$ are conjugate in G^α by an element of the group K^α . \square

Therefore the semigroup $L \setminus G/L$ acts in the whole space H . If a representation ρ is irreducible, then this semigroup acts by scalar operators. The corresponding character coincides with spherical functions of groups (G^α, K^α) acting in H .

3.5. Self-restriction. Let us prove the statement for $(G, L) = (\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{O}(\infty))$. We preserve the notation of 1.11.

⁸non-arbitrary.

The map $\tau : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Xi$ determines 0-1 matrix, denote it by A , the norm of A is 1. According Theorem 1.1, representations of a heavy group admits a weakly continuous extension to representation of semigroup of contractions. In particular, for any continuous representation π of L in a Hilbert space H , there is an operator $\pi(A)$ assigned to the matrix A .

Lemma 3.6 $\pi(A)$ is an isometric map from H to the subspace $H^{[\Xi]}$.

PROOF. First, $(\pi(A))^* \pi(A) = \pi(A^* A) = \pi(1) = 1$. Therefore $\pi(A)$ is an isometric embedding. Similarly, $\pi(A)(\pi(A))^* = \pi(AA^*)$. The operator AA^* is the projection to the subspace $\ell_2(\Xi)$ in $\ell_2(\mathbb{N})$. Next, we again refer to explicit form of representations of heavy groups, [23]. \square

Consider an admissible representation ρ of a pair (G, L) . Denote by π the restriction of ρ to L . Extend π to the semigroup of contractions.

Lemma 3.7 The operator $\pi(A)$ intertwines the representation π of the pair (G, L) in the space H and the representation $\pi \circ i_\tau$ in the space $H^{[\Xi]}$.

PROOF. Consider a sequence of matrices-permutations B_m weakly converging to A . Then $\pi(B_m)$ weakly converges to $\pi(A)$. The group G is an inductive limit of reductive groups $G(n)$. For each group $G(n)$ the operator $\pi(B_m)$ with sufficiently large number m is intertwining. Therefore the limit is intertwining for the whole group G . \square

Let $L = L_1 \times \cdots \times L_p$. Each factor is a group of unitary operators in a certain space $\ell_1 = \ell_2(\mathbb{N})$. We split each copy of \mathbb{N} into two countable subsets $\mathbb{N} = \Xi_j \cup \Omega_j$. Fix bijections $\tau_j : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Xi_j$. Now we can repeat the same words.

3.6. Mantle. Theorem on mantle follows from multiplicativity theorem and lemma about self-restriction.

3.7. Spherical characters, see 1.12. Consider a (G, L) -pair, acting in a direct sum of the spaces ℓ_2 as in 2.1–2.2. Consider all basis vectors e_μ in $\oplus \ell_2$ that are fixed by the group L . Consider the subgroup $G_{min} \subset G$ stabilizing all e_μ .

EXAMPLE. Let $(G, L) = (\mathrm{GL}(7 + 2\infty, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{O}(\infty) \times \mathrm{O}(\infty))$. Then $G_{min} = \mathrm{GL}(2\infty, \mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 3.8 Mantle of the pair (G, L) contains a central semigroup canonically isomorphic $L \setminus G_{min}/L$.

Explain this by an example. Let $(G, L) = (\mathrm{U}(p + \infty) \times \mathrm{U}(\infty), \mathrm{U}(\infty))$, the subgroup $L \simeq \mathrm{U}(\infty)$ is embedded to the product by the diagonal, $G_{min} = \mathrm{U}(\infty) \times \mathrm{U}(\infty)$. Redenote (G, L) as $(\mathrm{U}(p + 2\infty) \times \mathrm{U}(2\infty), \mathrm{U}(2\infty))$, we write its elements as pairs of block matrices (g, h) , where the size of g is $(p + \infty + \infty)$ and the size of h is $(\infty + \infty)$. Consider a subgroup $Z \simeq \mathrm{U}(\infty) \times \mathrm{U}(\infty)$ consisting of pairs of matrices

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & d_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & & \\ & & d_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

where $d_1, d_2 \in U(\infty)$. Consider a subgroup $M \subset Z$ consisting of pairs satisfying $d_1 = d_2$. Then the mantle is the semigroup $M \setminus G/M$. It contains the central subgroup $M \setminus Z/M$. Property of centrality is a version of Lemma 3.4 \square

4 Discussion

4.1. General conjectures. Let a pair (G, L) be finite, see .2.2. Then

- a) Unitary representations of (G, L) have type I .
- b) There exists only countable set of representations with a given spherical character.
- c) Let $(G, L) \rightarrow (G', L')$ be an embedding of pairs such that the embedding $L \rightarrow L'$ is straight in the sense of 2.1. Then the restriction of any irreducible representation ρ of the pair (G', L') to (G, L) has a pure discrete spectrum. In particular, this is the case for tensor products of irreducible representations.
- d) An explicit classification of spherical representations is possible. Precisely, they can be obtained by the construction described in [15], IX.5 (the difference between symmetric and non-symmetric pairs is explained in IX.5.6).
- e) In [18] for any element $\mathfrak{g} \in L^\alpha \setminus G/L^\beta$ there were obtained spectral data: "characteristic function" (it is an inner function of a matrix argument) and "eigensurface" (a hypersurface in a Grassmannian). The element \mathfrak{g} can be uniquely reconstructed from these data.

4.2. Why we consider only such pairs? There are many embeddings of $U(n)$ to unitary groups $U(N)$ of big size. For instance, $g \mapsto g \otimes g$ determines an embedding $U(n) \rightarrow U(n^2)$. But for finite $g \in U(\infty)$ the matrix $g \otimes g$ is not finite. I.e., formally, embeddings $U(n)$ to $U(\cdot)$, different from straight embeddings do not survive as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Certainly, this argument is not sufficient. We can search natural subgroups in the complete operator group in $\ell_2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$ containing matrices $g \otimes g$. The author do not see such subgroups.⁹

4.3. Sphericity. There are limits of spherical pairs that are not pure, say $(U(1 + \infty) \times U(\infty), U(\infty))$, $(O(1 + 2\infty), U(\infty))$, see [27].

Also there are many cases of sphericity with respect to subgroups of the form $L \times M$, where L is heavy and M is compact (see, e.g., the pair $U(p + \infty)/U(p) \times U(\infty)$ mentioned above).

4.4. Symmetric groups. For symmetric groups similar facts hold, an example is discussed in [17]. Our proofs are based on Lemma 3.1, they survive literally for symmetric groups.

⁹For instance, the subgroup generated by finite unitary matrices and matrices $g \otimes g$ is a direct product of two generating subgroups.

References

- [1] Borodin, A., Olshanski, G. *Harmonic analysis on the infinite-dimensional unitary group and determinantal point processes*. Ann. of Math. (2) 161 (2005), no. 3, 1319–1422.
- [2] Brion, M. *Classification des espaces homogènes sphériques*, Compositio Math. 63 (1987), 189–208.
- [3] Brodski, M. S., *Unitary operator colligations and their characteristic functions*. Russ. Math. Surveys, 1978, 33:4, 159–191.
- [4] Gelfand, I. M. *Spherical functions in symmetric Riemann spaces*. (Russian) Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 70, (1950). 5–8. English transl. in Transl., Ser. 2, Amer. Math. Soc. 37 (1964), 39–43.
- [5] Dixmier, J. *Les C^* -algèbres et leurs représentations*. Gauthier Villars, 1964.
- [6] Ismagilov, R.S., *Elementary spherical functions on the groups $SL(2, P)$ over a field P , which is not locally compst with respect to the subgroup of matrices with integral elements*. Math. USSR-Izvestiya, 1967, 1:2, 349–380.
- [7] Ismagilov, R.S., *Spherical functions over a normed field whose residue field is infinite*. Funct. Anal. and Its Appl. Volume 4, N. 1, 37–45.
- [8] Knop, F. *Semisymmetric polynomials and the invariant theory of matrix vector pairs*. Represent. Theory 5 (2001), 224–266.
- [9] Koornwinder, T. H. *Jacobi functions and analysis on noncompact semisimple Lie groups*. In *Special functions: group theoretical aspects and applications*, 1–85, Math. Appl., Reidel, Dordrecht, 1984.
- [10] M. Krämer, *Sphärische Untergruppen in kompakten zusammenhängenden Liegruppen*, Compositio Math. 38 (1979), no. 2, 129–153.
- [11] Livshits, M.S. *On a certain class of linear operators in Hilbert space*. Mat. Sb., N. Ser. 19(61), 239–262 (1946), English transl. in Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (Ser. 2), Vol. 13, 61–83 (1960)
- [12] Macdonald, I. G. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Second edition. With contributions by A. Zelevinsky. Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [13] Mikityuk, I. V. *On the integrability of invariant Hamiltonian systems with homogeneous configuration spaces*, Math. USSR-Sb. 57 (1987), 527–546.
- [14] Neretin, Yu. A. *Categories of bistochastic measures and representations of some infinite-dimensional groups*. Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math. 75 (1993), no. 1, 197–219.

- [15] Neretin, Yu. A. *Categories of symmetries and infinite-dimensional groups*. Oxford University Press, New York, 1996.
- [16] Neretin, Yu. A. *Hua type integrals over unitary groups and over projective limits of unitary groups*, Duke Math. J. 114 (2002), 239–266.
- [17] Neretin, Yu. A., *Infinite tri-symmetric group, multiplication of double cosets, and checker topological field theories*. Preprint, available via [arXiv:0909.4739](#).
- [18] Neretin, Yu. A. *Multi-operator colligations and multivariate spherical functions*. Preprint, available via [arXiv:1006.2275](#).
- [19] Nessonov, N. I., *Factor-representation of the group $GL(\infty)$ and admissible representations $GL(\infty)^X$* , (Russian) Math. Phys., Analysis, Geometry (Kharkov Math. Journ.), 2003, N4, 167–187.
- [20] Nessonov, N.I. *Factor-representation of the group $GL(\infty)$ and admissible representations of $GL(\infty)^X$. II.* (Russian) Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom. (Kharkov Math. Journ.) 2003, No. 4, 524–556.
- [21] Olshanski, G. I. *New “large” groups of type I*. Current problems in mathematics, Vol. 16 (Russian), pp. 31–52, 228, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Informatsii (VINITI), Moscow, 1980. English transl. J. Sov. Math. 18 (1982) 22–39.
- [22] Olshanski, G. I. *Unitary representations of infinite-dimensional pairs (G, K) and the formalism of R. Howe*. Soviet Math. Dokl. 27 (1982), no. 2, 290–294.
- [23] Olshanski, G.I. *Infinite-dimensional groups of finite R -rank: description of representations and asymptotic theory*. Funct. Anal. and Appl., 1984, 18:1, 22–34.
- [24] Olshanski, G. I. *Unitary representations of the group $SO_0(\infty, \infty)$ as limits of unitary representations of the groups $SO_0(n, \infty)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$* . Funct. Anal. Appl. 20 (1986), no. 4, 292–301.
- [25] Olshanski, G.I. *Unitary representations of infinite dimensional pairs (G, K) and the formalism of R. Howe*. In *Representation of Lie groups and related topics*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. 7, 269–463 (1990).
- [26] Olshanski, G.I., *Unitary representations of (G, K) -pairs connected with the infinite symmetric group $S(\infty)$* . Leningr. Math. J. 1, No.4, 983–1014 (1990).
- [27] Olshanski, G.I. *Caractères généralisés du groupe $U(\infty)$ et fonctions intérieures*. Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris. Sér. 1, 313 (1991), 9-12.
- [28] Pickrell, D. *Separable representations for automorphism groups of infinite symmetric spaces*. J. Funct. Anal. 90 (1990), no. 1, 1–26.

- [29] Potapov, V. P. *The multiplicative structure of J -contractive matrix functions*. Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obschestva. 4 (1955), 125–236; English transl. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 15 (1960), 131–243.
- [30] Strătilă, ., Voiculescu, D., *Representations of AF -algebras and of the group $U(\infty)$* . Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 486 (1975).
- [31] Vershik, A. M.; Kerov, S. V. Characters and factor-representations of the infinite unitary group. Soviet Math. Dokl. 26, 570–574 (1983).

Math.Dept., University of Vienna,
 Nordbergstrasse, 15, Vienna, Austria
 &
 Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics,
 Bolshaya Cheremushkinskaya, 25, Moscow 117259, Russia
 &
 Mech.Math.Dept., Moscow State University,
 Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow
 e-mail: neretin(at) mccme.ru
 URL:www.mat.univie.ac.at/~neretin
 wwwth.itep.ru/~neretin